

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/621,5	65 07/21.	00 SEIBERG	M	JBP0510	
-		HM12/0615	EX	AMINER	
AUDLEY A CIAMPORCERO JR ESQ			SEIDL	SEIDLECK,B	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK NJ 08933-7003		1615			
			DATE MAILED:	06/15/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/621,565	SEIBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian K. Seidleck	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) \boxtimes Claims <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
7-7/ 7.GKIOWIOGGOTTOTK TO TRACE OF A GIGINI TO CHAIN	and of the second of the secon	- 1-7-			
Attachment(s)					
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - Claims 1-22, drawn to multiple methods effecting hair, classified in class 514, subclass 880.
 - II. Claims 23-52, drawn to compositions comprising botanical extracts, classified in class 424, subclass 725.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the serine protease inhibitors in the botanical extracts may be used in sunscreen/anti-cancer formulations. See Ryan (U.S. Pat. No. 4, 906, 457).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I consists of two groups: (1) modulating hair growth, hair follicle or hair shaft size; and (2) modulating hair pigmentation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/621,565

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Seidleck whose telephone number is (703) 305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30am - 5:00pm) Every Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brian K. Seidleck Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615

BKS June 12, 2001

THURMAN K PAGE.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600